UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

WALTER W. THIEMANN,) Case No. C-1-00-793
Plaintiff,	(Judge Sandra S. Beckwith)(Magistrate Judge Timo thy S. Hogan)
-V-)
OHSL FINANCIAL CORP., ET AL.,) JOINT STATUS REPORT
Defendants.	·)
	·)
	;)

Pursuant to the Court's October 20, 2003 Order (doc. 216) the parties submit this Joint Status Report to clarify which pending motions now are moot and which still must be decided.

A. Motions That All Parties Agree Still Need To Be Decided:

- Defendants' Motion to Decertify and Motion to Reconsider Class Certification (docs. 52, 53, 65) and responses and replies thereto (docs. 54, 56, 74, 79);
- 2) Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Expert Reports (doc. 177) and responses and replies (docs. 183, 186, 191, 194);
- Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant's Supplemental Authority In Support of Motions to Reconsider Class Certification and to Decertify (doc. 184), Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority *Nunc Pro Tunc* (doc. 201) and responses and replies (docs. 200, 206, 207, 208); and
- 4) Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate's Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production By Ernst & Young (doc. 171) and responses and replies (docs. 190, 193).

B. <u>Motions That All Parties Agree Are Now Moot:</u>

- 1) Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate's Order Denying Oral Argument on Motion to Disqualify KMK (doc. 182) and responses and replies (docs. 188, 196, 202);
- 2) Plaintiff's Motion to File Supplemental Brief in Further Support of Motion to Disqualify KMK (doc. 205) and responses and replies (docs. 210, 211); and
- 3) Defendants' Objections to Magistrate's June 10, 2003 Discovery Orders (docs. 179, 180) and responses and replies (docs. 187, 195).

C. <u>Motions That Plaintiff Believes Still Need To Be Decided But Which</u> Defendants Believe Are Moot:

- 1) Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate's Order Quashing Subpoena of Jim Burke (doc. 125) and responses and replies (docs. 131, 137);
- 2) Plaintiff's Motion to Compel KMK to Comply With Local Rule 43.2 (doc. 176) and responses and replies (docs. 192, 198); and
- Plaintiff's Motion to Strike or Not Consider August 5, 2003 Letter from Patrick Fischer, Esq. to Michael G. Brautigam copies to Magistrate Judge (doc. no. 204).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gene I. Mesh via authorization 11/3/03

Michael G. Brautigam Gene I. Mesh (0002076) Gene Mesh & Associates 2605 Burnet Avenue

Cincinnati, Ohio 45219-2502 Tel: (513) 221-8800

Fax: (513) 221-1097

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class

/s/ James E. Burke

James E. Burke (0032731) Rachael A. Rowe (0066823) 1400 Provident Tower One East Fourth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Tel: (513) 579-6486

Fax: (513) 579-6457

Attorneys for OHSL and Provident Defendants

/s/ John W. Hust via authorization 11/3/03

John W. Hust (0027121)

Schroeder, Maundrell, Barbiere & Powers

Governor's Knoll, Suite 110

11935 Mason Road

Cincinnati, Ohio 45249

Tel: (513) 583-4200 Fax: (513) 583-4203

Attorney for Dinsmore Defendants

/s/ John B. Pinney via authorization 11/3/03

John B. Pinney (0018173)

J. Michael Debbeler (0012991)

Graydon, Head & Ritchey LLP

Fifth Third Center

511 Walnut Street, Suite 1900

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Tel: (513) 629-2704

Fax: (513) 651-3836

Attorneys for OHSL and Provident Defendants

/s/ Michael R. Barrett via auth. 11/3/03

Michael R. Barrett (0018159)

Barrett & Weber LPA

105 East Fourth Street, Suite 500

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Tel: (513) 721-2120 Fax: (513) 721-2139

Attorney for KMK Defendants

/s/ James E. Gauch via authorization 11/3/03

James E. Gauch

Jones Day

51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.

Washington D.C. 20001-2113

Tel: (202) 879-3939

Fax: (202) 626-1700

Attorney for Third Party and Putative

Defendant Ernst & Young